Case 2:09-cv-01914-JFW-CW Document 1-4 Filed 03/20/2009 Page 106 of 169

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 86 HON. DAVID P. YAFFE, JUDGE
5	MARINA STRAND COLONY II,) PETITIONER,)
6) NO. 85 109420
7	V 3 .
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.) REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
9	RESPONDENT.)
10	OR CALTEORNIA
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
13	
14	
	I, CYNTHIA S. CRUZ, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR
15	COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS
16	DO MEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1
17	THROUGH 8 COMPRISE A PARTIAL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF
18	THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON DECEMBER
19	THE PROCEEDINGS REDUCTION OF THE PROCEEDING REDUCTION OF THE PROCEDUCTION OF THE PROCE
20	22, 2008.
21	
22	
23	DATED THIS 23 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2008.
24	
25	(molecular , CSR #9095
26	OFFICIAL REPORTER
27	
_	

LOS ANGELES, CALIF.; MON., DECEMBER 22, 2008; A.M. SESSION
DEPARTMENT NO. 86

HON. DAVID P. YAFFE, JUDGE
APPEARANCES:

(THE REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST WITH THEIR COUNSEL, JOSHUA L. ROSEN AND R.J. COMER, ATTORNEYS AT LAW; RICHARD I. FINE, IN PROPRIA PERSONA.)

(CYNTHIA S. CRUZ, C.S.R. 9095, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)

(THE FOLLOWING PARTIAL PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'M SOMEWHAT TROUBLED BY
EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 703(D) WHICH SAYS IN THE ABSENCE OF
OBJECTION BY A FARTY, THE JUDGE PRESIDING AT THE TRIAL OF
AN ACTION MAY TESTIFY IN THAT TRIAL AS A WITNESS. I DON'T
SEE HOW I CAN TESTIFY IN A TRIAL AS A WITNESS IN WHICH I
AM THE SOLE DECIDER OF FACT IF THERE IS, IN FACT, ANY
ISSUE IN DISPUTE WITH RESPECT -- WHICH MY CREDIBILITY TO
MYSELF WOULD BE A FACTOR. HOWEVER, THE FIRST PART OF THIS
STATUTE SAYS THAT BEFORE I MAY BE CALLED TO TESTIFY AS A
WITNESS, I AM TO, IN PROCEEDINGS HELD OUT OF THE PRESENCE
OF THE JURY, INFORM THE PARTIES OF THAT POSITION THAT I
HAVE ON ANY MATTER, ANY FACT OR MATTER OF FACT UPON WHICH
I WILL BE CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY.

NOW, MR. FINE HAS LISTED THE MATTERS ON WHICH
I'M TO BE CALLED TO TESTIFY. I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY
DISPUTE AS TO ANY OF THOSE MATTERS.

S

I HAVE RECEIVED PAYMENTS FROM THE COUNTY. I DID RECEIVE THEM WHILE I WAS HANDLING CASES TO WHICH THE COUNTY WAS A PARTY. I DID NOT REPORT THEM ON THE FORM 700 THAT IS FILED WITH THE FEDERAL -- WITH THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THEY WERE REPORTED ON TWO STATEMENTS THAT I WAS ISSUED AT THE END OF EACH YEAR WHICH REPORTED ALL THE PAYMENTS THAT I GOT FOR -- FROM SITTING AS A JUDGE FROM BOTH THE STATE AND THE COUNTY.

BY THE WAY, ALL PAYMENTS THAT I RECEIVED AS A JUDGE ARE ON A WARRANT ISSUED BY THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THE STATE REIMBURSES THE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY ISSUES A WARRANT WHICH PAYS ME AND ALL OF THE OTHER JUDGES.

MR. FINE: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, YOUR HONOR, THAT IS INCORRECT. THE STATE DOES NOT REIMBURSE THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FOR THE PAYMENTS THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES MAKES. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES MAKES. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES MAKES THOSE PAYMENTS OUT OF THE COUNTY'S GENERAL FUND, AND THERE IS NO REIMBURSEMENTS.

THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S RIGHT. THE COUNTY REIMBURSES -- THE STATE REIMBURSES THE COUNTY FOR THE PORTION OF THE COUNTY WARRANT THAT PAYS MY SALARY OTHER THAN THE MEGA FLEX BENEFITS.

MR. FINE: FURTHER CORRECTION. THAT IS UNTRUE. THE PAYMENTS THAT YOU ARE PAID OUT OF A SPECIAL FUND, THAT IS NOT A COUNTY FUND. IT IS A SPECIAL TRUST FUND. AND THE PAYMENTS WHICH YOU RECEIVE WHICH ARE MEGA FLEX PAYMENTS,

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	PAYMENTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO
YOUR 401-K, ARE PAID OUT	OF THE COUNTY'S GENERAL FUND, FO
WHICH THERE'S NO REIMBUR	SEMENT FROM THE STATE.

THE COURT: MR. FINE, I SAID THE PORTION THAT
CONSTITUTES MY SALARY WHICH I GET IN A WARRANT FROM THE
COUNTY IS REIMBURSED TO THE COUNTY BY THE STATE.

MR. FINE: NO, I'M CORRECTING YOU, YOUR HONOR, THERE.

THAT IS A SPECIAL FUND, NOT A COUNTY FUND. IT'S A SPECIAL

TRUST FUND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHATEVER.

NOW, IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU HAVEN'T COVERED THAT YOU WANT TO ASK ME ABOUT?

MR. FINE: YES. YOU HAVE NOT COVERED THE FACT THAT YOU DID NOT HAVE ANY EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY.

IS THAT CORRECT?

THE COURT: CORRECT.

MR. FINE: AND DO YOU NOT HAVE ANY ARRANGEMENT TO PROVIDE SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY?

THE COURT: OTHER THAN THE SERVICES THAT I PERFORM AS A JUDGE PRESIDING IN THIS COURT, NO.

MR. FINE: OKAY. AND SERVICES THAT YOU PROVIDE AS A JUDGE SITTING IN THIS COURT ARE THE SERVICES AS A CONSTITUTIONAL ELECTED OFFICIAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE 16. IS THAT CORRECT?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. FINE: OKAY. AND ON THE PAYMENTS THAT YOU ARE RECEIVING FROM THE COUNTY ARE NOT PLACED INTO ANY -- ARE

-	
1	NOT CONTRIBUTIONS TO YOUR ELECTION CAMPAIGN. IS THAT
2	CORRECT?
3	THE COURT: CORRECT.
4	MR. FINE: OKAY, AND THE PAYMENTS THAT YOU ARE
5	RECEIVING FROM THE COUNTY ARE NOT A SALARY. IS THAT
6	CORRECT?
7	THE COURT: I CONSIDER THEM A SALARY, YES.
8	MR. FINE: WELL, SINCE YOU DID NOT HAVE ANY CONTRACT
9	WITH THE COUNTY FOR SERVICES AND YOU ARE NOT AN EMPLOYEE
10	OF THE COUNTY, THESE PAYMENTS ARE NOT A SALARY FROM THE
11	COUNTY, ARE THEY?
12	THE COURT: I CONSIDER THEM TO BE PART OF MY SALARY.
13	MR. FINE: BUT LEGALLY SPEAKING, YOU ARE
14	THE COURT: I'M A WITNESS HERE NOW, MR. FINE, SO I'M
15	NOT GOING TO GIVE ANY OPINIONS O THE LEGALITIES OF THIS
16	STUFF.
17	MR. FINE: YOUR HONOR, DO YOU HAVE AN EMPLOYMENT
18	CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY?
19	THE COURT: I ANSWERED THAT QUESTION. THE ANSWER IS
20	NO.
,	NO. MR. FINE: OKAY. AND DO YOU HAVE ANY SERVICE
21	CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY?
22	200
23	THE DO YOU KNOW 12 DO 100
24	COUNTY IS GIVING TO
25	OF ANY REASON THAT THE COUNTY OTHER THAN THE REASON TO INFLUENCE YOUR DECISION ON COUNTY
26	
27	CASES? MR. ROSEN: OBJECTION; CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
28	MR. ROSEN: UBURCITO.

1	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
2	MR. FINE: OKAY. YOU ARE THE YOU AREN'T THE JUDGE
3	HERE, YOUR HONOR. YOU CAN'T SUSTAIN YOUR OWN TESTIMONY.
4	THE COURT: WHO'S TO RULE ON THE OBJECTION, MR. FINE,
5	YOU7
6	MR. FINE: IT SHOULD BE BY ANOTHER JUDGE, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT ELSE?
8	MR. FINE: NOW, YOUR HONOR, IN THIS LAST YEAR, OTHER
9	THAN THE CASE OF MARINA STRAND COLONY II, IS THERE ANY
10	CASE WHERE YOU'VE RULED AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES?
11	THE COURT: IN THE LAST YEAR?
12	MR. FINE: YES.
13	THE COURT: I WOULD BE AMAZED IF I HADN'T RULED
14	AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WITHIN THE LAST YEAR,
15	BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER ANY PARTICULAR CASE.
16	MR. FINE: CKAY. IN THE LAST TWO YEARS, CAN YOU TELL
17	ME ANY CASE WHERE YOU'VE RULED AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS
18	ANGELES?
19	THE COURT: AGAINST THE COUNTY?
20	MR. FINE: AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
21	THE COURT: WELL, I DID IN THIS CASE.
	MR. FINE: NO, OTHER THAN THIS CASE.
22	THE COURT: OH, I'M SORRY. NO, I CAN'T REMEMBER THE
23	OF ANY CASE IN IN THE NAME OF THE PLAINTIFF OR THE
24	NAME OF ALT
25	RULED AGAINST, BUT I'M SURE I HAVE.
26	RULED AGAINST, BUT THE LAST THREE YEARS, CAN YOU TELL ME
27	THE NAME OF ANY CASE IN WHICH YOU'VE RULED AGAINST THE
28	THE NAME OF AUT CASE

COUNTY	OF	ros	ANGELES?
--------	----	-----	----------

THE COURT: IF I CAN'T REMEMBER WITHIN THE LAST YEAR AND THE LAST TWO YEARS, I CERTAINLY CAN'T REMEMBER ANY IN THE LAST THREE YEARS.

MR. FINE: OKAY. NOW, YOUR HONOR, IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, DID YOU INVESTIGATE TO DETERMINE IN THE RECORD -AND I'M NOT ASKING YOU ABOUT YOUR DECISION, I'M ASKING YOU IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, DID YOU DETERMINE -- DID YOU INVESTIGATE THE RECORD TO DETERMINE IF THE MAY 15^{TR} VOTE BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INVOLVING THE E.I.R. WAS A VALID VOTE IN THAT THE SUPERVISORS HAD THE AUTHORITY TO VOTE TO APPROVE THE E.I.R.?

MR. ROSEN: OBJECTION.

THE COURT: NOW, YOU'RE GETTING INTO THE NATURE FOR MY RULING IN THE UNDERLYING CASE HERE.

MR. FINE: NO, THE BASIS OF YOUR RULING IN THE UNDERLYING CASE WAS THAT YOU SAID THAT THE E.I.R. HAD NOT BEEN CIRCULATED DUE TO THE AMOUNT OF DIRT THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE MOVED.

MR. ROSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR; LACKS FOUNDATION.

THAT ISSUE IS NOT PRESENTED IN THE CASE BEFORE YOU AT THE

TIME.

MR, FINE: THE -- MY QUESTION IS THAT IN LOOKING AT THE RECORD IN THIS CASE --

THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE OBJECTION, SO IT'S OVERRULED, BUT --

MR. FINE: LET ME GIVE YOU AN OFFER OF PROOF.

THE COURT: THIS IS NOT . - WHAT DOES THIS HAVE TO DO

В

ear mar	THIS	CONTEMPT	PROCEEDING

MR. FINE: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE FOLLOWING THING,
YOUR HONOR: I WILL GIVE YOU AN OFFER OF PROOF OF WHAT
WILL COME INTO EVIDENCE WHICK IS ALREADY BEFORE YOU FROM
THE DOCUMENTS, AND THAT IS THAT THE OFFER OF PROOF IS THAT
BOTH SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND SUPERVISOR KNABE HAVE
RECEIVED CONTRIBUTIONS WITHIN 12 MONTHS PRIOR TO THE TIME
THAT THEY VOTED ON MAY 15TH, 2007, WHICH MAKES THEIR VOTE
ILLEGAL UNDER THE POLITICAL REFORM ACT UNDER THE
BREAKSTONE CASE. MY QUESTION -- AND THAT CAN BE SHOWN
FROM THE DOCUMENTS --

THE COURT: THEY HAVE RECEIVED CONTRIBUTIONS FROM WHOM?

MR. FINE: FROM JERRY EPSTEIN, PAT EPSTEIN AND DAVID
A. LEVINE. ANY --

THE COURT: WHAT DOES ALL THIS HAVE TO DO WITH ME?

MR. FINE: IT HAS TO DO WITH YOU, YOUR HONOR, THAT IT

GOES TO THE POINT THAT IN THE RECORD OF THIS PARTICULAR

CASE IS THE MAY 15TH VOTE WHICH SHOWS THAT VOTE HAD FOUR

SUPERVISORS VOTING IN FAVOR OF THE E.I.R. AND THE E.I.R.

WAS THE BASIS OF THIS CASE, AND ...

THE COURT: VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE E.I.R.?

MR. FINE: THAT'S CORRECT, IN FAVOR OF THE PASSAGE OF THE E.I.R. AND THAT THOSE FOUR VOTES --

THE COURT: YOU MEAN THEY ADOPTED THE E.I.R.?

MR. FINE: THEY ADOPTED THE E.I.R., CORRECT, BY FOUR VOTES. TWO OF THE FOUR VOTES --

THE COURT: THE E.I.R. I SUBSEQUENTLY HELD WAS

INCO	ŔŔ	EC	Ţ	
------	----	----	---	--

MR. FINE: ONLY FOR THE REASON THAT THE AMOUNT OF DIRT THAT WAS BEING TRANSPORTED HAD NOT BEEN SHOWN TO THE PEOPLE. YOU DID NOT HOLD IT TO BE INSUFFICIENT WITH RESPECT TO WHETHER THE ACTUAL ADOPTION OF THE VOTE WAS LEGAL OR NOT. MY QUESTION TO YOU IS: DID YOU LOOK AT THE RECORD TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE VOTE OF MAY 15TH WAS HELD *

B

7

1

2

3

4

5

THE COURT: WHAT RECORD?

10

9

MR. FINE: THE RECORD IN THE CASE OF MARINA STRAND

11

MR. ROSEN: YOUR HONOR --

13

THE COURT: I CERTAINLY INSPECTED THE ADMINISTRATIVE

1,4

RECORD.

15

16

MR. FINE: OKAY. IN THE INSPECTING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE

17

RECORD TO DETERMINE THE LEGALITY OF THE MAY 15TH VOTE?

18

THE COURT: I DON'T THINK -- I DOUBT IT BECAUSE I DON'T THINK ANYBODY RAISED ANY ISSUE AS TO THE LEGALITY OF

19

THE MAY 15TH VOTE.

20 21 MR. ROSEN: IF T MAY OBJECT, YOUR HONOR?

22

MR. FINE: FINE. THAT ANSWERS MY QUESTION, YOUR

23

HONOR. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

24

(THE FOREGOING PARTIAL PROCEEDINGS WERE

25

CONCLUDED.)

26

27